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The enduring fascination with the French experience during the German Occupation has left French workers at the margins of most historical narratives. If not for the STO—the compulsory labor service instituted in February 1943 that, with the Réleve begun in June 1942, sent 650,000 French workers to Germany and prompted young men facing labor conscription to opt instead for the maquis—workers might pass virtually unnoticed in many surveys of the Vichy years.[1] Yet paid employment dominates the daily lives, the location and the well-being of most adults, and in the circumstances of economic shortages and politicization of daily life in Occupied France, it deserves greater attention. Single-author books on labor during the Occupation are few, with works like Jean-Pierre Le Crom’s *Syndicats, nous voilà!* yielding results as rewarding as they are rare.[2] Much of the new work has appeared in conference volumes,[3] most notably in two volumes published in 1992 and 2003.[4] *Travailler dans les entreprises sous l’Occupation* joins a new conference volume on unionized workers[5] as the latest contributions presenting the results of new research. It combines thirty papers from two conferences run in June and October 2006 by the CNRS research group on “Les entreprises françaises sous l’Occupation.”[6]

The basic context for work experience is well established. Rearmament in the late 1930s increased industrial employment. Mobilization in 1939 and defeat in 1940 produced sharp convulsions in employment, with substantial employee turnover and then high unemployment as the chaos of exodus and defeat brought severe economic dislocation. Workers had been mobilized, and then as prisoners were unable to return to their homes and employment. The battle for France destroyed transport infrastructure and some productive capacity. The Germans seized raw materials, finished goods, fuel, vehicles, rolling stock and food supplies. The division of the country into zones with restricted access aggravated transport difficulties. German authorities showed no haste in trying to restore production, as the seizure of booty, for immediate consumption or for use in the war against Britain, initially took priority.

This produced a hiatus in production and substantial unemployment in 1940–41. Recovery took place in reorienting French production to meet German needs from limited supplies of raw materials. This amounted to a vassalization of the French economy, under a new regime of state controls working in German interest but implemented by French authorities. It included a serious effort to freeze wages and prices. Wages are easier to control than prices: real wages fell, food supply declined, and transport difficulties increased. Working for German firms, whether in France or in Germany, whether voluntary or conscripted, offered better wages and benefits. The Organization Todt in France offered high salaries and a combination of bonuses, perks and premiums that drew large numbers of French workers. The Vichy regime wished to make work the foundation of its program for national renewal (Dalissen). Female employment was to be reduced in favor of concentrating women’s responsibilities in the home and family. But growing labor shortages and the desperate need for increased income to buy food required that women, especially mothers, return to work.
Travaller dans les entreprises offers case studies at three levels: individual firms, regions, and categories of enterprise or worker. The nature of available archival evidence makes it difficult to avoid a concentration on the role of the state (particularly in dealing with the STO, wage controls, and efforts to ameliorate working conditions) and the management level of decision-making in individual firms. The collection has particularly interesting contributions on the impact of STO and employers’ efforts to protect their workers; on the degree to which French firms assisted in the vassalization of the French economy and contributed to German war production; and on the experience of work during the Occupation (productivity, the role of comités sociaux, and women in workforce).

The STO (decreed on 16 February 1943) marked a seismic shift in the balance of accommodation/resistance. The threat of being sent to Germany for compulsory labor service for men born between 1920 and 1922 created an immediate sense of crisis. The program had initial success, over-fulfilling an initial quota of 250,000 men, but results then fell precipitously. Raphael Spina provides a concise overview of the impact of STO on French firms, taking into account the variation in patron response (from resistance to cooperation), workers’ reactions, means of evasion, and the effects on productivity through the employment of extra and untrained workers and the increased manpower shortage. Other contributions assess the impact on workers in Lorraine, Haute-Savoie and the Bourgogne, and on the Société Schneider and the SNCF. Particularly notable are the consequences in increased accidents at work and declining productivity and worker morale. The result was to weaken production in France, alienate workers from the Vichy regime, and increase the workplace need for women, whom Vichy declared it wished to keep in traditional roles of housekeeping and childrearing.

French workers in Germany were treated as forced labor rather than “ambassadors of French quality,” hardly a strong recommendation for work in Germany, voluntary or conscript. When Schneider sent “missions” to German factories it found its workers living in barracks, short of food and clothing, and working sixty-hour weeks (Berger, p. 76). Managers in France developed strategies to keep their workers at home, transferring workers to protected sectors and arguing they could not meet German orders on time without keeping qualified workers in France. In the automobile sector, Peugeot employed workers in repainting offices, planting trees and redecorating factory canteens; Citroën kept workers employed making marmites norvégienes, broad-brimmed hats, shoes, and even swaddling clothes (Loubet, p. 182). Although French workers were promised better wages in Germany, they were paid less than their German counterparts, and their most frequent complaint was that they were not paid the wages promised (Arnaud, p. 329). When paid, there was little for them to buy. In 1945, workers had their Reichsmark savings confiscated when they returned to France, a source of lasting bitterness (Arnaud, pp. 335-8).

Working for the Germans in France offered better prospects: German firms promised higher wages, greater employment security (they had better access to raw materials), and significantly better benefits including access to more food. After February 1943, they also offered protection from the STO. Working for firms under contract to the Organisation Todt building German defenses was often remunerative, especially in 1940-41, but the workers forced to live in OT camps were subject to ill treatment regulated in accord with the Nazi racial hierarchy, and a large number of OT workers were drawn from foreign forced labor, POWs, and concentration camp internees. At its maximum in the spring of 1944, the OT in France employed more than 200,000 workers (Gaida, p. 243), perhaps as many as 290,000 (Lemmes, pp. 219-20), of whom more than half came from other countries.

French firms cooperated in the vassalization of the French economy, but they generally did so under duress. German controls on finance, raw materials, transport and markets and their oversight of Vichy economic policies allowed little space for resistance before encountering German suppression, and many firms chose to work with the Germans in order to be able to work at all. The contributors do not address the issue of collaboration directly, but many do offer examples of resisting work for the German
war effort. The Usine de ressorts du Nord produced springs for German railways (Laloux); workers for
the Marine française in Brest worked at harbor repair after the Armistice and then on repairing German
warships, but they worked as slowly as possible (Hellwinckel). Schneider, working directly for the
Germans, found many ways to reduce output: concealing raw materials, filling order books with French
orders, slowing production through elaborate schemes to order parts from distant firms, and allowing
workers to slow their pace, have high rate of absenteeism and facilitate sabotage. Not surprisingly, the
director of the Creusot factories, H.-C. Stroh, and many managers and workers suspected of sabotage
were arrested and deported in 1944 (Capuano). Strikes and sabotage slowed production. Neither form of
resistance receives much attention here; neither was recorded well in company archives. As the editors
point out, resistance activity had little impact on output, but after all its primary purpose was political.

In terms of work experience, aside from the convulsions of employment and labor conscription, falling
real wages and declining productivity, already well-known, these essays offer further information on
changes in the workplace to accommodate increased women’s employment and the impact of food
shortages. The latter took a toll in the form of absenteeism, illness, depleted worker strength and the
need to compete for scarce labor. The Vichy government encouraged the creation of comités sociaux to
supplement its Chartre de Travail in October 1941, with these comités taking a notable role in dealing with
food supply problems. Employers adopted various strategies to retain workers and maintain output:
workers’ canteens, subsidizing workers’ gardens, supporting workers’ cooperatives for food acquisition.
Fabrice Grenard focuses specifically on “La question du ravitaillement,” which also takes a central role
in Michèle Blondé’s account of the comité social de la Société nationale de la viscose, and figures repeatedly
in essays on other firms. As Grenard points out, ravitaillement efforts at the level of individual firms
increased inequities within the working classes and increased animosity on the part of those excluded
from workplace benefits.

Two essays focus on women’s employment; Marie-Claude Albert on women in armaments factories in
Vienne, and Fabrice Virgili on French women in Germany. Albert examines the changes in women’s
employment in an arms factory in Châtellerault, the moral terms in which the management worried
about women’s behavior, and the changes in policy under German administration, ignoring French
“humanitarian” concerns in order to increase production. Virgili provides an overview of women’s part
in voluntary French labor in Germany (Pétain refused to extend the STO to include women in 1943).
Women were only a small part of the French labor force in Germany; somewhere between 50,000 and
70,000 of 1,500,000 French workers during the war. They volunteered in seeking employment
opportunities and to escape various problems in France; they were unimpressed by the low pay, working
conditions, long hours, barracks life, and shortages of food and clothing they found in Germany. So
many tried to find any way they could to return home that French authorities altered policy, ending
pregnancy as a valid reason for returning to France. When they did return, women were treated as
collaborators and subject to greater violence than returning men. The state also worried more about
women in its concern for contagion of venereal disease and TB.

As one would expect in a collection of conference papers, quality is not consistent, but it is generally
high in this collection. There are repetitions in analysis and the basic history of the period. Many of the
tables are poorly reproduced, and there is a scattering of mid-line hyphens throughout the text that
seems to be the product of an imperfect transition between word processing programs. The
contributions on non-French topics, covering social relations in the Belgian national railways and Czech
unions, are tacked on the last section with no effort to integrate them into the collection. The volume
has no index, a regrettable omission in a volume of this size with strong common themes. But the book
demonstrates the vitality of new research in labor history during the Occupation and its importance in
understanding the social impact, the inefficiencies, and the inequities of the German occupation. The
fact that so much new work is available in conference volumes, and that so little of it has been taken up
in surveys of the Vichy years, offers a great opportunity for a synthesis covering French work
experience during the Occupation.
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[3] See the special issues of Le mouvement social no. 158 (1992), and La Revue d’histoire de la Deuxième Guerre mondiale no. 57 (1965).
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