
Review by Rebecca E. Kingston, University of Toronto.

This book provides a snapshot of eighteenth-century French provincial nobility through the life of one prominent Bordeaux aristocrat, Jean-Baptiste de Secondat de Montesquieu. While ostensibly presented as a biography of the son of one of Bordeaux’s most famous men of letters, this text reads more particularly as a series of discussions of different aspects of the social history of eighteenth-century France in which the life, choices and activities of the Baron are couched. While some might wish to read this text in search of added insight into the life of his philosopher father, the main attribute of this book is that it provides an archivally-based case-study of the life of a prominent provincial aristocrat before and during the Revolution in France.

There is a paradox in the life of Jean-Baptiste for while his notoriety may be largely due (at least outside a circle of social historians) to the career of his father, the most interesting aspects of his life stem from the ways in which he distinguished himself from his heritage. As Cadilhon shows very well, Jean-Baptiste took very seriously the Enlightenment call to the practice of science. Unlike his father who dabbled in some experimentation, but who, for the most part, adopted his scientific principles from other sources, Jean-Baptiste was devoted to experimentation and to the serious testing of theories and principles, with particular attention to the possible applications of new discoveries.[1] As an experimental natural scientist, Jean-Baptiste was much more rigorous and devoted to the field than his father, and this is shown through his numerous papers and publications (ten published works in total, p. 166) giving an account of the results of his experiments.

His identity as a natural scientist was also solidified by the recognition he received from the scientific community (see in particular pp. 143-158). Cadilhon shows the vast array of scientific interests that gripped Jean-Baptiste. He wrote scientific papers on subjects ranging from the cause of epidemics among cattle (p. 153) to astronomy (p. 147), to local demographics (pp. 150-151) as well as the best means of measuring temperature while controlling for the effects of barometric pressure (p. 145). He was an active participant in the presentations and debates in the local Académie de Bordeaux (the topic of chapter seven). As Cadilhon also emphasises, Jean-Baptiste was most interested in the practical applications of recent scientific discovery (p. 147), which was in contrast to the general orientation of the scientifically-minded in Bordeaux, at least as argued by Pierre Barrière.[2]

Despite the divergence of Jean-Baptiste’s intellectual interests from those of his famous father, in other matters he was a dutiful son. He had a good record of promoting the name and reputation of his father. Editorial interventions on his part, sometimes compromised, or left questions concerning the editorial accuracy of works published posthumously under Jean-Baptiste’s control. For those reading the book with a primary interest in Montesquieu the father, it would have been helpful if the author had developed this discussion further, in consultation with Catherine Volpilhac-Auguer, currently the scholar with the most knowledge on the history of Montesquieu’s manuscripts.[3] As another feature
of family loyalty, as was common among the aristocracy of his day, Jean-Baptiste went to great lengths
to ensure the integrity and productivity of the family lands and holdings. Furthermore, Jean-Baptiste
sought to keep the tradition of the magistracy continuing in the family for his own son. This is
particularly revelatory of the strength of a sense of family tradition and heritage, because both the
literary Montesquieu himself, along with his son Jean-Baptiste, followed their own inclinations to reject
that judicial heritage in their own choice of career. Nonetheless, both felt duty-bound to ensure that the
same opportunity to continue with the magistracy, through the position of president à mortier in the
local parlement of Bordeaux, be secured for their progeny.

The book is particularly good as an introduction to the social history of the aristocracy of eighteenth-
century France as it provides an overview of the life, practices, and priorities of the nobility in Bordeaux.
The author discusses the very complex social make-up of the provincial nobility. He provides an
analysis of an inventory of furniture that was required by the Revolutionary government (pp. 91-94),
and this helps to provide a sense of the relative size of the wealth and holdings of the Secondat family,
and the broader context of eighteenth-century French aristocratic material culture. It also provides an
interesting account of the perils of noble lineage in a time of Revolution, and gives an account of how
Jean-Baptiste, despite his efforts to cooperate with the Revolutionary government, was imprisoned in
1794 at the age of seventy-eight for suspected allegiance to the forces of counter-revolution (p. 221).
He stayed imprisoned for twenty-seven days.

Though not articulated by the author, through this account of his life, it becomes apparent that Jean-
Baptiste was a man for whom details mattered. It was the particular duties of noble heritage, and the
particular questions of scientific discovery, application and experimentation, that fascinated him over
and above the broader questions of philosophy and social science. While some of the background in
social history provided in this text is well trodden territory, such as the discussion of trends in scientific
analysis in the eighteenth century, it does serve as a backdrop from which to make better sense of Jean-
Baptiste’s life.

Still, for the most part, this book focuses on some of the particulars of Jean-Baptiste’s life, while leaving
much of the synthesising up to the reader. In general terms, it could be compared to a series of books
covering the nature of noble and intellectual culture in seventeenth and eighteenth century Europe,
through the biography of one individual.[4] However, Cadilhon’s work suffers in large part from lack of
knowledge of important literature in English on French intellectual culture of the seventeenth and
eighteenth centuries, and especially those texts and arguments dealing with nature of scientific culture
at the time (e.g. Jessica Riskin, William Clark and Margaret Jacob as just a few random examples).[5]
In addition, while this book provides us with an overview of the life of a notable figure in Bordeaux’s
social history, it does not provide us with any clear account concerning the overall significance of Jean-
Baptiste’s activities. This work will serve to fill in some of the gaps in our understanding of local
culture in eighteenth century Bordeaux, and in providing historians with easier, though distilled, access
to some of the content of archival material in Bordeaux, but it does not engage to any great extent with
some of the broader theories concerning the nature and development of European Enlightenment
culture.[6] For this reason, the book is perhaps of best use to serious students of eighteenth-century
social history who seek an account of educational, commercial or scientific circles and practices in a
provincial setting to help them build a broader picture of existing trends.

NOTES

[1] For a superb treatment of the issue of the foundations and nature of Montesquieu’s scientific

For further discussion of the history of the posthumous editing of Montesquieu’s works consult the relevant volumes of the newest edition of Montesquieu’s collected works, *Oeuvres complètes de Montesquieu*, being published through the Voltaire Foundation of Oxford University and edited by Catherine Volpilhac-Augier, Jean Ehrard and Pierre Rétat.

Examples of this include Peter N. Miller’s *Peiresc’s Europe* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2000).
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